KSI-301 Anti-VEGF Antibody Biopolymer Conjugate for Retinal Vein Occlusion: Primary 24-Week Efficacy and Safety Outcomes of the BEACON Phase 3 Pivotal Study

Michael Singer, M.D.

Clinical Professor of Ophthalmology, University of Texas Health Science Center Director of Clinical Research, Medical Center Ophthalmology Associates San Antonio, TX

on behalf of the BEACON Study Group

30 September 2022

Disclosures

- Presenter's Financial Disclosures:
 - Consultant: Aerie, Allegro, Alimera, Allergan, Eyepoint, Genentech, Kodiak Sciences, Novartis, Regeneron, Santen
 - Contracted Research: Aerie, Alimera, Allegro, Allergan, DRCR.net, Genentech, Icon, Ionis, Kalvista, Kodiak Sciences, Novartis, Opthea, Optos, Regeneron, Santen, Senju, Sydnexis
 - Equity: Aviceda, Inflammasome, Nanoscope
 - Speakers Bureau: Allergan, Genentech, Mallinckrodt, Novartis, Regenerson, Spark
- This presentation will discuss IRB/IEC approved research of an investigational medicine.

RVO real-world anti-VEGF treatment outcomes fall short of clinical trial outcomes – more durable treatments are needed

2.043

5

5.3

Δ

6

144

1,567

327

7



Monthly dosing is difficult to achieve in clinical practice, where 72% of patients received less than monthly dosing

With currently available anti-VEGFs, treatment less often than monthly compromises vision outcomes in RVO

A less frequent therapy that achieves comparable outcomes would be an important advance

Adapted from Ciulla T, et al. Br J Ophthalmol 2021;105:1696–1704. doi:10.1136/bjophthalmol-2020-317337. Represents 8,876 BRVO eyes, 6,737 CRVO eyes from Vestrum database. Mean 4.5 and 4.6 anti-VEGF injections over first 6 months (aflibercept, ranibizumab, or bevacizumab) in BRVO and CRVO, respectively. VA, Visual acuity. RVO, retinal vein occlusion. BRVO, branch retinal vein occlusion. CRVO, central retinal vein occlusion.

KSI-301 (tarcocimab tedromer): Antibody Biopolymer Conjugates (ABCs) A novel class of biologics engineered for increased durability and efficacy



ANTIBODY

IgG1 Anti-VEGF Antibody Immunologically inert

BIOPOLYMER

Branched, Optically Clear, High Molecular Weight Phosphorylcholine Polymer

CONJUGATE

KSI-301 (tarcocimab tedromer) is an anti-VEGF ABC that blocks all VEGF-A isoforms

BEACON: Phase 3 non-inferiority study of tarcocimab tedromer every 2 months after only two loading doses vs aflibercept every 1 month in treatment-naïve RVO patients



Key Ophthalmic Inclusion Criteria

- Treatment-naïve macular edema secondary to RVO (BRVO or CRVO) of ≤ 6 months duration
- BCVA of 80 to 25 ETDRS letters (≈20/25 to 20/320 Snellen)
- CST of ≥320 microns on SD-OCT

Key Ophthalmic Exclusion Criteria

- Macular edema in the Study Eye considered to be secondary to a cause other than RVO
- Active iris or angle neovascularization, neovascular glaucoma, neovascularization of the optic disc, retinal neovascularization or vitreous hemorrhage in the Study Eye
- Significant media opacities, including cataract, in the Study Eye that might interfere with visual acuity, assessment of safety, optical coherence tomography or fundus photography
- Prior vitrectomy in the Study Eye
- Active retinal disease other than the condition under investigation in the Study Eye
- Any history or evidence of a concurrent ocular condition that in the opinion of the Investigator could require either medical or surgical intervention or affect macular edema or alter visual acuity during the study (e.g. vitreomacular traction)
- No specific exclusion for ischemic RVO

Patient Disposition – discontinuations were low and balanced between groups; over 95% of patients remained on treatment at Week 24



Baseline Ocular Characteristics – tarcocimab treated patients started at a slightly higher baseline BCVA

Parameter	Tarcocimab Q8W (n=284)		Aflibercept Q4W (n=284)	
RVO Type , n (%) BRVO CRVO	220 (77.5%) 64 (22.5%)		218 (76.8%) 66 (23.2%)	
	BRVO n=220	All Patients n=284	BRVO n=218	All Patients n=284
BCVA, ETDRS Letters, mean (SD) ≥20/40 Snellen equivalent, n (%) ≤20/200 Snellen equivalent, n (%)	62.6 (12.24) 81 (36.8%) 12 (5.5%)	61.0 (13.19) 92 (32.4%) 22 (7.7%)	61.4 (13.33) 75 (34.4%) 17 (7.8%)	59.8 (14.18) 90 (31.7%) 31 (10.9%)
BCVA Category, n (%) ≤ 49 ETDRS Letters 50 – 69 ETDRS Letters 70 – 80 ETDRS Letters	27 (12.3%) 120 (54.5%) 73 (33.2%)	45 (15.8%) 155 (54.6%) 84 (29.6%)	30 (13.8%) 118 (54.1%) 70 (32.1%)	47 (16.5%) 155 (54.6%) 82 (28.9%)
Disease Duration, n (%) < 3 months ≥3 months	201 (91.4%) 19 (8.6%)	262 (92.3%) 22 (7.7%)	195 (89.4%) 23 (10.6%)	256 (90.1%) 28 (9.9%)
OCT Central Subfield Thickness (CST), µm, mean (SD)	526.0 (160.20)	568.4 (187.07)	543.5 (162.91)	587.5 (197.63)

n = Number of participants treated; The denominator for percentages is the number of participants treated within each treatment arm. Snellen equivalent of 20/40 is 69 ETDRS letters and of 20/200 is 38 ETDRS letters. RVO: retinal vein occlusion; BRVO: branch retinal vein occlusion; CRVO: central retinal vein occlusion; BCVA: best-corrected visual acuity; ETDRS: early treatment diabetic retinopathy study; OCT: optical coherence tomography

Results

Primary Endpoint Met Tarcocimab Q8W was non-inferior to aflibercept Q4W in both BRVO and All RVO patients

Tarcocimab Q8W improved BCVA and OCT CST comparably to aflibercept Q4W from baseline to Week 24 in <u>BRVO patients</u> – non-inferiority to aflibercept demonstrated



Results are based on a mixed model repeated measures (MMRM) analysis, with the change from baseline value as the dependent variable; treatment, visit (Week 1 through Week 24), and treatment by visit interaction as fixed effects; randomization stratification variables [baseline BCVA (\geq 70, 69-50 and \leq 49 letters), disease duration (<3 months or \geq 3 months), and geographical location (North America and Rest of World)] as covariates; and subject as a random effect. Non-inferiority margin = 4.5 ETDRS letters. Δ (95.02% CI): -1.4 (-3.11, 0.30) for tarcocimab - aflibercept. BCVA: best-corrected visual acuity; ETDRS: early treatment diabetic retinopathy study; OCT: optical coherence tomography; CST: central subfield thickness. 95% CI are displayed.

Tarcocimab Q8W improved BCVA and OCT CST comparably to aflibercept Q4W from baseline to Week 24 in <u>all RVO patients</u> – non-inferiority to aflibercept demonstrated



Results are based on a mixed model repeated measures (MMRM) analysis, with the change from baseline value as the dependent variable; treatment, visit (Week 1 through Week 24), and treatment by visit interaction as fixed effects; randomization stratification variables [RVO subtype (CRVO and BRVO), baseline BCVA (\geq 70, 69-50 and \leq 49 letters), disease duration (<3 months or \geq 3 months), and geographical location (North America and Rest of World)] as covariates; and subject as a random effect. Non-inferiority margin = 4.5 ETDRS letters. Δ (95.02% CI): -2.5 (-4.24, -0.71) for tarcocimab - aflibercept. BCVA: best-corrected visual acuity; ETDRS: early treatment diabetic retinopathy study; OCT: optical coherence tomography; CST: central subfield thickness. 95% CI are displayed.

Tarcocimab achieved comparable visual and anatomical outcomes in <u>BRVO patients</u>, in both the matched phase and the maintenance phase



Observed data, graphed as Mean ± Standard Error of the Mean; Week 8 and 24 datapoints are Mean (Standard Error of the Mean). Standard errors are not visible on the graphs BCVA: best-corrected visual acuity; ETDRS: early treatment diabetic retinopathy study; OCT: optical coherence tomography; CST: central subfield thickness.

Similarly, tarcocimab achieved comparable visual and anatomical outcomes in <u>all RVO patients</u>, in both the matched phase and the maintenance phase



Observed data, graphed as Mean ± Standard Error of the Mean; Week 8 and 24 datapoints are Mean (Standard Error of the Mean). Standard errors are not visible on the graphs BCVA: best corrected visual acuity; ETDRS: early treatment diabetic retinopathy study; OCT: optical coherence tomography; CST: central subfield thickness.

Secondary endpoints: Comparable proportions of tarcocimab Q8W and aflibercept Q4W patients gained or maintained vision at Week 24



Secondary endpoints: Comparable proportions of tarcocimab Q8W and aflibercept Q4W achieved good vision (≥20/40) and avoided poor vision (≤20/200) at Week 24



Tarcocimab Q8W and aflibercept Q4W had similar distribution of vision outcomes both among BRVO and all RVO patients at Week 24



Tarcocimab is the first anti-VEGF therapy to demonstrate non-inferior vision outcomes with fewer doses than the average used in clinical practice

Real World Evidence¹

Mean number of anti-VEGF injections in the first 6 months of RVO treatment





BEACON

1. Ciulla T, et al. Br J Ophthalmol 2021;105:1696–1704. doi:10.1136/bjophthalmol-2020-317337. Represents 8,876 BRVO eyes, 6,737 CRVO eyes from Vestrum database. Mean 4.5/4.6 anti-VEGF injections over first 6 months (aflibercept, ranibizumab, or bevacizumab).

Safety: tarcocimab Q8W was well-tolerated, with low rates of adverse events

Adverse Events (AEs) up to Week 24	Tarcocimab Q8W (n=284)	Aflibercept Q4W (n=284)
Ocular - Study Eye		
Subjects with any ocular AE	86 (30.3%)	71 (25.0%)
Subjects with any ocular serious AE (SAE)	4 (1.4%)	0
Subjects with any Injection Procedure Related AEs	41 (14.4%)	32 (11.3%)
Subjects with any Injection Procedure Related SAE	1 (0.4%)	0
Non-Ocular		
Subjects with any Non-Ocular AE	123 (43.3%)	108 (38.0%)
Subjects with at Least One Non-Ocular SAE	15 (5.3%)	15 (5.3%)
Subjects with any APTC-classified ATE events	4 (1.4%)	3 (1.1%)
Any Deaths	2 (0.7%)	0

Rates of intraocular inflammation were low and comparable between treatment groups, and there were no cases of endophthalmitis

Intraocular Inflammation in Study Eye up to Week 24	Tarcocimab Q8W (n=284)	Aflibercept Q4W (n=284)
Subjects Reporting at Least 1 Intraocular Inflammation AE	4 (1.4%)	1 (0.4%)
Uveitis	2 (0.7%)	0
Keratic precipitates	1 (0.4%)	0
Vitritis	1 (0.4%)*	1 (0.4%)

Endophthalmitis (Procedure-Related) in Study Eye up to Week 24	Tarcocimab Q8W (n=284)	arcocimab Q8W (n=284) Aflibercept Q4W (n=284)	
Endophthalmitis (Procedure-Related)	0	0	

No cases of intraocular inflammation with vasculitis or vascular occlusion were observed

Rates of common ocular adverse events (≥1.5% in either study arm) and ocular serious adverse events were low

Common Ocular Adverse Events (AEs) up to Week 24	Tarcocimab Q8W (n=284)	Aflibercept Q4W (n=284)	
Subjects with any AE in the Study Eye	86 (30.3%)	71 (25.0%)	
Conjunctival haemorrhage	25 (8.8%)	21 (7.4%)	
Eye Pain	11 (3.9%)	3 (1.1%)	
Vitreous floaters	7 (2.5%)	5 (1.8%)	
Dry eye	6 (2.1%)	3 (1.1%)	
Eye irritation	5 (1.8%)	2 (0.7%)	
Intraocular pressure increased	5 (1.8%)	3 (1.1%)	
Vitreous detachment	5 (1.8%)	5 (1.8%)	

Other Ocular Serious Adverse Events (SAEs) in Study Eye up to Week 24	Tarcocimab Q8W (n=284)	Aflibercept Q4W (n=284)
Glaucoma	1 (0.4%)	0
Intraocular pressure increased	1 (0.4%)	0
Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment	1 (0.4%)	0

Relevance: reducing the treatment burden from 6 to 4 doses/injections/visits while maintaining vision outcomes is highly meaningful for patients

Real World Evidence¹



1. Ciulla T, et al. Br J Ophthalmol 2021;105:1696–1704. doi:10.1136/bjophthalmol-2020-317337. Represents 8,876 BRVO eyes, 6,737 CRVO eyes from Vestrum database. Mean 4.5/4.6 anti-VEGF injections over first 6 months (aflibercept, ranibizumab, or bevacizumab).

Conclusions

BEACON met primary endpoint	Mean change in BCVA with tarcocimab Q8W was non-inferior to aflibercept Q4W in RVO
Similar efficacy, meaningfully fewer doses	 Tarcocimab is the first anti-VEGF therapy to show comparable visual acuity outcomes to monthly aflibercept while doubling the treatment interval <u>Matched phase:</u> strong efficacy with comparable vision and anatomic improvement as early as Week 1 <u>Maintenance phase:</u> similar BCVA, OCT gains from Week 8 to Week 24 with half the doses
Safe and well- tolerated	Favorable safety profile with low rates of intraocular inflammation and no cases of intraocular inflammation with vasculitis or vascular occlusion No new or unexpected ocular or non-ocular safety signals
Ongoing tarcocimab Phase 3 program	Successful outcomes from BEACON lend confidence to ongoing studies across indications (wet AMD, DME, NPDR)

Thank you to all BEACON investigators and site staff!

Czech Republic: Oftex S.R.O., Vseobecna Fakultni Nemocnice V Praze; France: Centre Paradis Monticelli, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Bordeaux - Hôpital Pellegrin, Centre Hospitalier Intercommunal de Créteil, Fondation Rothschild, CHRU Dijon Complexe Du Bocage, Hôpital de La Croix Rousse, Hôpital Lariboisière; Germany: Universitätsklinikum Bonn, St. Elisabeth Krankenhaus, Universitätsklinikum Freiburg, Universitätsklinikum Schleswig-Holstein, Universitätsklinikum Regensburg, St Franziskus Hospital, Dietrich Bonhoeffer Klinikum Neubrandenburg; Hungary: Jahn Ferenc Dél-Pesti Kórház és Rendelointézet, Bajcsy-Zsilinszky Korhaz es Rendelointezet, MH EK Honvedkorhaz SzemEszeti Osztaly, Budapest Retina Associates, Semmelweis Egyetem; Israel: Shamir Medical Center Assaf Harofeh, Hadassah University Hospital, Rambam Medical Center, Meir Medical Center, Rabin Medical Center, Kaplan Medical Center, Assuta HaShalom, Tel Aviv Sourasky Medical Center, Bnai Zion Medical Center; Italy: Ospedale San Raffaele S.r.I., Fondazione PTV Policlinico Tor Vergata, Azienda Sanitaria Universitaria Integrata di Udine, Ospedale Clinicizzato SS Annunziata, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A Gemelli, AOU dell'Università degli Studi della Campania Luigi Vanvitelli; Latvia: Pauls Stradins Clinical University Hospital, Riga Eastern Clinical University Hospital Clinic Bikernieki, Latvian American Eye Center, Signes Ozolinas Doctor Praxis In Ophthalmology; Poland: Dr Nowosielska Okulistyka i Chirurgia Oka, Optimum Profesorskie Centrum Okulistyki, Gabinet Okulistyczny Prof. Edward Wylegala, Uniwersytecki Szpital Kliniczny im. Jana Mikulicza Radeckiego we Wroclawiu, Oftalmika Sp. z o.o., Uniwersyteckie Centrum Kliniczne Im. Prof. K. Gibinskiego Slaskiego Uniwersytetu Medycznego w Katowi; Slovakia: Nemocnica s Poliklinikou Trebisov, Fakultna Nemocnica Trencin, Fakultna Nemocnica s Poliklinikou Zilina, Univerzitna Nemocnica Bratislava, Fakultna Nemocnica s Poliklinikou F. D. Roosevelta, Uvea Klinika S.R.O.: Spain: Hospital dos de Maig, Hospital Universitario Rio Hortega, Hospital Universitario de Bellvitge, Hospital Universitari General de Catalunya - Grupo Quironsalud, Hospital Universitario Miguel Servet, Hospital Universitari i Politecnic La Fe de Valencia, Hospital Clinic de Barcelona, Hospital Clinico Universitario Lozano Blesa, Instituto Clinico Quirurgico de Oftalmologia; United States: Retinal Research Institute LLC, Retina Vitreous Associates, Retina Research Institute of Texas, Retina Consultants of Texas - Houston, Retina Consultants of Texas - The Woodlands, Sierra Eye Associates, Orange County Retina Medical Group, Retina Consultants of San Diego, Medical Center Ophthalmology Associates, Charleston Neuroscience Institute, NJ Retina - Teaneck, Retina Specialty Institute, Colorado Retina Associates PC, Southeast Retina Center, Retina Associates of Cleveland, Texas Retina Associates, Vitreoretinal Surgery PA, Cumberland Valley Retina Consultants PC, Retina Northwest, Austin Retina Associates – Austin, Retina Associates of Cleveland, Palmetto Retina Center, Southeastern Retina Associates PC, Retina Associates PA, Ophthalmic Consultants of Boston, Tennessee Retina PC, Retina Associates of Florida, The Retina Center of New Jersey, Foundation for Vision Research, Wolfe Eye Clinic, Strategic Clinical Research Group LLC, Associated Retinal Consultants PC, National Ophthalmic Research Institute, Rand Eye Institute, Retina Consultants of Texas – Katy, Cascade Medical Research Institute, Retina Consultants of Orange County, Florida Eye Microsurgical Institute, Retina Associates of Kentucky, Retinal Consultants Medical Group Inc, Black Hills Regional Eye Institute, Vitreo Retinal Associates PC, Emanuelli Research & Development Center, Vitreo Retinal Consultants and Surgeons, Florida Eve Associates, Springfield Clinic LLP, Eve Medical Center of Fresno, Austin Retina Associates – Round Rock, Charlotte Eye Ear Nose & Throat Associates P.A., Retina-Vitreous Surgeons of Central NY, Retina Group of New England, Vitreo Retinal Consultants, Retina Associates of Western NY, Retina Group of Florida, Retina Research of Beaufort, Vitreo Retinal Associates, Retina Group of Washington, Retina Consultants of Nevada, Connecticut Eye Consultants, Retina Consultants of Southern California, Center for Retina & Macular Disease, Talley Eye Institute, Retina Institute of Virginia, Retina Consultants LLC, Spokane Eye, Florida Retina Institute, MidAtlantic Retina, Southern Vitreoretinal Associates, Asheville Eye Associates, Ocli Vision, The Macula Center/Blue Ocean Clinical Research, Austin Research Center for Retina, Charles Retina Institute, Retina Consultants of Carolina, Texas Retina Associates – Fort Worth, Texas Retina Associates - Arlington, Retina Consultants of San Antonio